

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



In re Applicant:

Herbert URAM

Serial No.: 09/901,717

Filed: July 11, 2001

For: Method and System for Hurricane Control

Examiner: Steven J. Ganey

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

#5/Recms.
3/12/04
H. Brewer

Group Art Unit: 3752

Attorney
Docket:

RESPONSE TO OFFICIAL ACTION

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED

MAR 09 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

Sir:

This is in response to the United States Patent and Trademark Official Action mailed December 5, 2003, in which the Examiner rejected all Claims 1 – 16 under 35 USC 101 and under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Favorable reconsideration of both rejections is respectfully requested in the light of the following remarks:

The Rejection under 35 USC 101

The rejection under 35 USC 101 is based on a holding by the Examiner that the

“disclosed invention is wholly inoperative and therefore lacking in credible utility”.

In support of this holding the Examiner states: